# Threat Modeling SecAppDev 2010

Copyright© 2010 KRvW Associates, LLC

### Design flaws are major

Difficult and costly to fix post facto -Too costly to fix? Often made because of false assumptions -Trust models -Underestimate attackers -Naïveté





#### Let's look at design activities

Approaches
Risk analyses
Threat modeling
Formal vs. ad hoc
Same intent
Find problems before we implement them



# Let's see what the SDLCs offer

Several to choose from Enough good in each to consider all -Look carefully at each author's perspective Apply consistently and measure



#### Who are the players?

#### Microsoft

- Secure Development Lifecycle
- "The Security Development Lifecycle," Michael Howard and Steve Lipner, Microsoft Press, ISBN 978-0-7356-2214-2
- Cigital
- "Touchpoint" process
- "Software Security: Building Security In," Gary McGraw, Addison-Wesley, ISBN 0-321-35670-5
- http://BuildSecurityIn.US-CERT.gov

#### **OWASP**

- Comprehensive Lightweight Application Security Process (CLASP)
- http://www.owasp.org/index.php/OWASP\_CLASP\_Project

#### **SDL: Product Risk Assessment**

Analyze the product's functions and their "danger" levels –Use their sample questionnaire as a starting point Determine the privacy impact How much effort should be applied?

## **SDL:** Risk Analysis

This one really comes down to -Threat modeling -Using threat model to aid code review -Using threat model to aid testing -Determine key success factors and metrics Guided by -STRIDE (Spoofing, Tampering, Repudiation, Info disclosure, DoS, Elevation) -DREAD (Damage, Reproducibility, Exploitability, Affected Users, Discoverability)

# Cigital's "Touchpoints"

Built by McGraw et al over time

- Perspective is consulting services
- Consists of three pillars
- -Risk management
- -Knowledge
- -Touchpoints



# **The Touchpoints**



# A risk management framework

**Business** goals determine risks **Risks** drive methods Methods yield measurement Measurement drives decision support **Decision support drives** fix/rework and application quality



#### Architectural risk analysis

Build a one page white board design model Use hypothesis testing to categorize risks -Threat modeling/Attack patterns Rank risks Tie to business context Suggest fixes Repeat



# Architectural risk analysis

Follow a process Build an overview (one page) Three steps -Attack resistance analysis -Ambiguity analysis -Weakness analysis Rank risks **Build mitigations** 



#### **Attack resistance**

- Identify general flaws
- -Non-compliance
- Where guidelines are not followed
- Map applicable attack patterns Identify risks in architecture Consider known attacks against similar

- **Attack Patterns** 
  - -Pattern language
  - -Database of patterns
  - -Actual flaws from clients
- Exploit Graphs
- -Ease mitigation
- -Demonstrate attack paths
- Secure design

technologies

# Knowledge: Attack patterns

**Attack Pattern Schema** Description **General Indication Recipes for exploit Protection schemes** Indications - Signs of weakness - Specific concrete properties of the software - Easily detectable **Protection Schemes** 



# Knowledge: 48 attack patterns

Make the Client Invisible Target Programs That Write to Privileged OS Resources Use a User-Supplied Configuration File to Run **Commands That Elevate Privilege** Make Use of Configuration File Search Paths **Direct Access to Executable Files Embedding Scripts within Scripts** Leverage Executable Code in Nonexecutable Files **Argument Injection Command Delimiters Multiple Parsers and Double Escapes** User-Supplied Variable Passed to File System Calls Postfix NULL Terminator Postfix, Null Terminate, and Backslash **Relative Path Traversal Client-Controlled Environment Variables** User-Supplied Global Variables (DEBUG=1, PHP Globals, and So Forth) Session ID, Resource ID, and Blind Trust Analog In-Band Switching Signals (aka "Blue Boxing") Attack Pattern Fragment: Manipulating Terminal Devices

Simple Script Injection **Embedding Script in Nonscript Elements XSS in HTTP Headers HTTP Query Strings User-Controlled Filename** Passing Local Filenames to Functions That Expect a URL Meta-characters in E-mail Header File System Function Injection, Content Based Client-side Injection, Buffer Overflow Cause Web Server Misclassification Alternate Encoding the Leading Ghost Characters Using Slashes in Alternate Encoding Using Escaped Slashes in Alternate Encoding Unicode Encoding **UTF-8** Encoding **URL Encoding** Alternative IP Addresses Slashes and URL Encoding Combined Web Logs **Overflow Binary Resource File Overflow Variables and Tags** 

GREG HOGLUND - GARY McGRAU

# **Ambiguity analysis**

**Consider** implications of design Generate separate arch. diagrams Unify understanding -Uncover ambiguity -Identify downstream difficulty (traceability) -Unravel convolution

Apprenticeship model Use system, technology experts -Win32 knowledge -JVM/managed code -Language/compiler knowledge Previous experience

#### Weakness analysis

Consider systemic flaws -COTS -Frameworks -Network topology -Platform Identify services Map weaknesses to assumptions

Experience base
Assessments of COTS and platforms
Attack patterns
Other resources
Mailing lists
Product documentation

### Enter threat modeling

We seek to enumerate -Who -What -How -Impact -Mitigation Order does not matter Spreadsheets can help



## Who is the threat agent?

Who has access? What are their motivations? How resourceful are they? How knowledgeable?



#### What is the attack target?

What is the target of the attack?

-From high level to low level

Start with asset inventory

- -Consider business value
- -Technical significance
- -Security dependencies



#### How will the attack work?

This is the biggie What kinds of attacks are relevant? -Available tools to automate -Manual attacks -Outside vs. inside -Authenticated vs. not -Remote vs. local



# What is the impact?

What is the business impact of an attack? -Direct costs -Indirect costs -Reputation impact -Down time



# How can it be mitigated?

How can we reduce likelihood of each attack?

- -Mechanism
- -Costs
- -Feasibility
- -User acceptance



# Start with a diagram

Top level to visualize functionality -Think business, not technology -NOT a network diagram Identify the assets Annotate with design patterns -Servlet, thin client, session, MVC, etc.



# **Attack surface**

Enumerate the entire attack surface – Top to bottom What interfaces are available, and how? – APIs – UIs – B2B – OS

- -Signals
- -Etc

Copyright© 2010 KRvW Associates, LLC



#### **Security zones**

Break down architecture into separate zones -Client (PC) zone -Middleware zone -Application zone -Database zone Enumerate all -Components -Agents

Copyright© 2010 KRvW Associates, LLC

#### **Enumerate attacks**

Attack trees help
Start with high value outcome and reverse engineer
Dive into fine level of detail
List preconditions for each step
It is OK to fail
Some attacks may seem extreme and unlikely
Consider abuse/misuse case attacks too

#### Include all components

Key security components -Authenticators -Access controllers -Input validators -Output encoders Identify security controls explicitly

#### **Consider** data flow

Identify and trace key data assets -Credentials -Account data -Customer PII -Crypto keys

#### Let's try a simple one

Company background
Global telecommunications company, USD\$20B/yr
Carrier grade reliability
"Brick and mortar"
Very little business on net

Data processing in two groups -Production data -Administrative Provisioning Accounting/billing Provisioning done via legacy mainframe -MVS/DB2 monster

#### Your project requirements

Mobile app for provisioning -Connects to DB2 back end **Functional reqs** -Field techs securely access provisioning eng -View/alter customer acct info

- -All services of DB2 user
- -Only authorized techs may use
- -If device lost, no customer data lost
- -If net not avail, app must cache commands and execute when avail

#### **Business risks**

What are the top business risks?

What are the top attack targets?

What abuse cases are likely?

Who are the threat agents?

# Design

Celltop -Java app -Renderer -Authenticator Mobile prov engine -Authenticator Prov engine



# Threat analysis

Who	What	How	Impact	Mitigation

Copyright© 2010 KRvW Associates, LLC

#### What have we learned?

What glaring problems surfaced through this analysis?

# **Considerations in Choosing**

One size does NOT fit all Cultural issues –Dev org size –How "process heavy" are you now? –Across entire organization



# Plan Your Own Hybrid

Look at each process Which components are likely to work best for you? –Feasibility is vital

-Sometimes best isn't better Think things through carefully



# Plan of Action

What is in place now? Target process Gap analysis Chart a course -Small steps -Defect data helps to prioritize steps **Buy-in** is essential



Kenneth R. van Wyk KRvW Associates, LLC

Ken@KRvW.com http://www.KRvW.com



Copyright© 2010 KRvW Associates, LLC